Comparative Analysis of MRI, CT, and Ultrasound Imaging Modalities: Technological Advancements and Clinical Applications

Ziyan Song *

International Department, Affiliated High School of South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China

* Corresponding Author Email: suzyeatsuzy@icloud.com

Abstract. This paper explores the development history, principles, and applications of three major medical imaging technologies—magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT), and ultrasound imaging—in modern medicine. By analyzing the advantages and limitations of each technology, it highlights MRI's excellence in soft tissue imaging, CT's efficiency in rapid bone imaging, and the unique value of ultrasound imaging in real-time dynamic detection. Additionally, the revolutionary applications of artificial intelligence in imaging technologies are discussed, and future development directions are proposed, including improving imaging speed, reducing radiation doses, and enhancing image resolution and functional integration. The complementary nature of these technologies provides a more comprehensive solution for clinical diagnostics.

Keywords: magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography, ultrasound Imaging, clinical applications.

1. Introduction

In 1952, physicist Rabi discovered that in a magnetic field, certain nuclei could absorb and emit radiofrequency energy [1]. The discovery of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) led Bloch and Purcell to win a Nobel Prize in 1952., and the technology of NMR is the basic principle for magnetic field imaging (MRI). In the 1970s, scientists began to realize that NMR could be used for human body imaging. Then, the first nuclear magnetic resonance imaging was invented by Paul Lauterbur and Peter Mansfield [2]. Raymond Damadian discovered that tumors and normal tissue could be distinguished through nuclear magnetic resonance, and he first used NMR to scan the human body.

In 1890, Roentgen and his assistant found an X-ray and used it to photograph a woman’s hands. Surprisingly, they found out that the inner structure of human hands is present in the photo [3]. Then, the discovery in mathematics, the Radon transform [4], gave the invention of computed tomography (CT) a. Randon transform gave computed tomography the possibility to reconstruct the inner structure of a human being by a mathematical solution. After that, in the 1970s, the improvement of computers provided the possibility for computed tomography. The first CT scanner was invented by Sir Godfrey Hounsfield [5].

The discovery of ultrasound can be traced back to 1880 when Pierre and Jacques Curie noticed that electricity can be generated by crystal under mechanical vibrating [6]. They also discover the ability of liquid crystals to produce electricity under vibration. Then, in the 1940s, Ronald invented a machine that could emit ultrasound and collect the wave that bounced from the object. This is the first ultrasound imaging in the world [7]. Then, John Wild, Douglas Howry, and others developed medical ultrasound technology in the 1940s and 1950s.

Modern medical imaging primarily comprises three modalities—Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Computed Tomography (CT), and Ultrasound Imaging. MRI uses the resonance properties of hydrogen nuclei in strong magnetic fields [8]. Then, using radiofrequency pulses to collect the electronic signal and generate the images. Its multi-parametric imaging capabilities (T1/T2 weighting, diffusion-weighted imaging) take advantage of brain tissue stratification. It can visualize structural abnormalities like tumors. Functional MRI (fMRI) further captures dynamic brain activation patterns

[9]. Due to the MRI's radiation-free nature, MRI is usually used for detecting disc herniation and myelopathy.

CT technology relies on X-ray attenuation differences in tissues [10]. CT usually uses slip-ring systems for helical scanning. According to back-projection algorithms, it reconstructs cross-sectional images with isotropic resolutions up to 0.5 mm. Its advantage in density resolution makes it indispensable for 3D fracture reconstruction and pulmonary nodule detection. The whole-body CT scan is usually finished within a few minutes, so it is usually used in emergency trauma evaluations. However, CT has a high reliance on X-rays, and people are still concerned about the ionizing radiation [11].

Ultrasound imaging stands out for its real-time dynamic visualization. Doppler techniques quantify blood flow velocities (up to 5 MHz), while transesophageal echocardiography captures heart valve motion at >30 fps [12]. In interventional procedures, ultrasound-guided real-time navigation boosts biopsy accuracy to >95%.

Recent advances in artificial intelligence have revolutionized these modalities. This essay will introduce the further evolution of these three-imaging technology.

2. Principles and system components of MRI, CT, and ultrasound imaging

2.1. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI): Principles and system components

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a kind of imaging technology, which is based on nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). Under the strong magnetic field, proton magnetic moments will align with the magnetic field. Then, by applying a radiofrequency (RF) pulse toward the nuclei, it will tip the protons from the magnetic field. Those protons, will absorb the energy from the radiofrequency and remit it in the relaxation time. Different tissues will form the basis of the image contract. When the RF field of the proton is removed, relaxation occurs. The Bloch equation can describe the motion of protons in relaxation phenomena [13]. This equation is an empirical equation that describes the behavior of macroscopic magnetization vectors during the relaxation process, which is:

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = M \times \gamma B_0 - \frac{M_x\hat{x} + M_y\hat{y}}{T_2} - \frac{(M_z - M_0)}{T_1}\hat{z} + D\nabla^2 M \tag{1}$$

Where M is the magnetization vector with components $M_x\hat{x},\ M_y\hat{y},\ M_z\hat{z}$; γ is the gyromagnetic ratio; T_1 is longitudinal relaxation time; T_2 is transverse relaxation time; M_0 is equilibrium longitudinal magnetization; D is the diffusion coefficient; $\nabla^2 M$ is the Laplacian operator applied to M.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI): Principles and System Components

1) Magnet system. The magnet system is the core of the MRI system, and it can provide a uniform and stable electrostatic field [14]. Magnet systems of MRI can be divided into three classes: Permanent magnet magnetic resonance, resist magnetic resonance, and superconducting magnetic resonance. Permanent magnets refer to materials that exhibit spontaneous magnetism and can maintain long-term stable magnetic properties under the influence of an external magnetic field, without the need for external energy to maintain their magnetic field. A resist magnet refers to a conductor made of specific metal materials that generates a stable magnetic field when current passes through it. Superconductivity magnet refers to the magnet that utilizes this superconducting phenomenon. Superconductivity is a special physical phenomenon where certain materials exhibit zero resistance and complete rejection of external magnetic fields when they drop below the critical temperature.

2) Radiofrequency (RF) system. The RF system mainly consists of RF coils, RF generators, and RF amplifiers [15]. Its main function is to emit RF pulses that can excite the imaging area. The RF coil is the most important component of the RF system. According to their functions, coils are generally divided into transmitter coils, receiver coils, and T/R coils.

3) Gradient system. The gradient system mainly consists of gradient coils, gradient amplifiers, analog-to-digital converters, gradient controllers, etc. [16]. Its main function is to generate the gradient magnetic field required for imaging. In addition, changes in gradient magnetic fields can also generate magnetic resonance signals, which are gradient echo signals.

4) Other components. The other parts mainly include the operating system and image processing system.

2.2. Computed tomography (CT): Principles and system components

Computed tomography (CT) utilizes that different tissue can absorb X-rays at different rates. Using the X-ray to pass through the objects, different tissues will have different attenuation coefficients. After the X-ray passes through the body, the detector can transfer the remaining X-ray to an electric signal. The detector will collect the data of multi-angle signals. Computed by the algorithm, all the signals will be reconstructed into cross-section images, which reflect the inner structure of the human body. Among them, the filtered back projection algorithm (FBP) can filter the projection data before back projection (FBP); In addition, iterative reconstruction algorithms (e.g., ASiR, MBIR) can achieve approximation of the true solution through iterative optimization, which is suitable for cases with incomplete data [17].

In the process of CT imaging data acquisition, the X-ray tube generates a relatively uniform beam of X-rays with an incident photon number of S_0. The beam is parallel along the z-axis, exposing only the cross-section of the scanned area. X-rays are attenuated by the patient's body in an exponential form:

$$S = S_0 e^{-\mu t} \tag{2}$$

The detector will collect the transmitted signal, and these CT measurements will be converted into projection values:

$$P = \mu t = ln\frac{S_0}{S_0 e^{-\mu t}} = ln\frac{S_0}{S} \tag{3}$$

Where t is the thickness of the object; μ is the sum of the linear attenuation coefficients received by the beam, reflecting the attenuation ability of the scanned area to X-rays; S_0 is the initial strength of the X-ray; and S is the final strength of the X-ray.

Modern computed tomography system can be divided into three parts:

1) X-ray system. The process of the CT machine generating X-rays is to provide a stable DC high voltage through a high-voltage generator, usually 100-140 kV [18]. The magnitude of this DC high voltage directly affects the energy value of X-rays. During the imaging process, it is necessary to maintain stable X-ray energy and accurately provide the degree of absorption of X-rays by the scanned area. An X-ray tube is a vacuum diode that operates under high voltage, consisting of a filament for emitting electrons as the cathode and a target material for receiving electron bombardment as the anode. The electrons emitted by the cathode are accelerated and hit the target material. During the impact process, the electrons suddenly decelerate, and the lost kinetic energy is released in the form of photons, forming a continuous part of the X-ray spectrum, known as bremsstrahlung.

2) Imaging reconstruction system. The system achieves fault reconstruction through various algorithms [19]. Common algorithms include Back Projection, Filtered Back Projection (FBP), Feldkamp-Davis-Kress (FKD), and other common algorithms.

3) Other components. Other components include an operating system, battery system, and so on.

2.3. Ultrasound imaging: Principles and system components

Ultrasound imaging uses high-frequency waves to detect the depth of the object. Echo return time determines the depth. The detector will collect the signal to generate an image of the inner body [20].

Modern ultrasound imaging machines can be divided into three parts:

1) Echo system. Ultrasound mainly utilizes echo for imaging. The instrument achieves the purpose of ultrasonic detection by coordinating each excitation unit to form a specific waveform for transmission. After modulating the signal, the waveform will be focused through the delay between units.

2) Imaging system. The imaging system can be divided into: Scanline imaging: Scanline imaging: Each focusing unit generates a scanning line for scanning, and finally each scanning line is combined into a 2D image. The scanning line spacing and Tx (transmitter) focus will directly affect the corresponding frame rate. [21]

Diverging Wave Imaging: Reconstructing 2D images in one go, or trying to organize different Wave fronts to improve signal-to-noise ratio and resolution. This is a relatively new method, which mainly focuses on scanning line imaging.

3) Other components. Other components include an operating system, battery system, and so on.

3. Comparative analysis of MRI, CT, and ultrasound imaging

The advantages of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are the non-invasive nature, the absence of radiation, and the adaptation in soft tissue contract. Because MRI technology is highly based on the relaxation properties of hydrogen nuclei, it shows a great advantage in distinguishing muscle tissue, fat, blood vessels, and neural structures. Therefore, MRI is usually used for brain tumors, joint injuries, and neurodegenerative disorders. Besides, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) can monitor the brain activity at actual time, via blood oxygenation-level-dependent (BOLD) contract.

However, MRI technology still has notable limitations. During the imaging process, conventional scans require about 30-60 minutes, and the generated images usually contain motion artifacts. Besides, if the patients have metallic implants within their body, they are absolutely rejected by the MRI machine. Although the high-field system enhances the signal-to-noise ratio, it increases magnetic susceptibility artifacts and specific absorption rate (SAR)-related safety concerns. Patients with claustrophobia may feel fearful of the enclosed environment of magnetic resonance imaging.

Current improvement for MRI usually focuses on technological innovations and system innovations. To improve the imaging speed, both compressed sensing technology and parallel imaging technology can reduce scan times by >50%. And deep learning algorithms can enhance image reconstruction from training data. AI tools in MRI systems leverage radiomic features to improve glioma grading and lesion detection accuracy. Hardware developments include ultrahigh-field (7T) for the magnetic field system and low-field (0.55T) open-configuration systems for obese patients and interventional procedures. Multimodal integration (e.g., PET-MRI) facilitates the advancement of oncology and neurology. In the future, using frontier technology can improve high-resolution imaging while addressing cost and mobility barriers.

Computed Tomography (CT) has changed diagnostic imaging since its invention in the 1970s, offering imaging time which is less than 1 minute as usual and excellent resolution for visualizing bone, calcifications, and acute pathologies. By utilizing X-ray beams and detector arrays to generate cross-sectional images, CT excels in trauma evaluation, pulmonary embolism detection, and stroke assessment. Modern multi-detector CT (MDCT) systems achieve submillimeter resolution, which enables detailed vascular imaging (e.g., coronary artery CT angiography) and precise tumor imaging and diagnosis.

However, CT still has significant limitations. It shows a high reliance on ionizing radiation (effective dose: 2–10 mSv per scan), which may cause cancer risk concerns, especially for those children and patients undergoing long-term imaging diagnosis. The performance of CT in soft tissue imaging remains inferior to MRI. However, CT will limit the differentiation of parenchymal organs without iodinated contrast agents, while iodinated contract agents pose risks of nephrotoxicity and allergic reactions. Metal implants or dense bone will lead to an increased possibility of artifacts, and this may further degrade image quality.

Current advancements target radiation reduction and functional imaging. Iterative reconstruction algorithms (e.g., ASiR, MBIR) and AI-driven denoising tools reduce radiation doses by about 30–70%. The emerging technology named Photon-counting CT (PCCT), can improve contrast-to-noise ratios and resolution while reducing radiation exposure. AI integration usually functioned as automated lesion detection and quantitative analysis of lung fibrosis or coronary plaque. Portable CT units now support point-of-care neuroimaging, improving the portability of treatment. Future directions of CT will emphasize spectral imaging. Combined with radiomics and machine learning, CT can be used in the prediction of tumor genotypes or treatment responses, bridging structural and molecular diagnostics. The most popular direction in current is hybrid systems (e.g., PET-CT). Besides, CT will retain its critical role in rapid diagnostics while mitigating its drawbacks.

Medical Ultrasound Imaging has an advantage in modern diagnostics due to its real-time capabilities, absence of ionizing radiation, and cost-effectiveness. Ultrasound can detect the human body in a dynamically visualized way; for example, blood flow (via Doppler effects), and organ function, making it indispensable in obstetrics, cardiology, and abdominal imaging. Ultrasound imaging usually utilizes high-frequency sound waves (2–18 MHz). Besides, it has a relatively mature portable device system, which enables bedside examinations in emergency or resource-limited settings. Advanced modes of ultrasound imaging like 3D/4D ultrasound can enhance anatomical detail for prenatal diagnostics.

Key limitations for medical ultrasound include penetration reduction in obese patients or gas-filled structures (e.g., lungs, intestines), which scatter sound waves. Spatial resolution diminishes while the depth increases, which limits its utility for deep-seated lesions. Additionally, ultrasound is difficult to differentiate tissue types with similar acoustic properties without contrast agents. Therefore, Microcalcifications or bone structures often produce shadowing artifacts, further complicating interpretation.

Technological advancements aim to overcome these challenges. High-frequency transducers (up to 70 MHz) improve superficial structure resolution for dermatology or ocular imaging. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) with gas-filled microbubbles improves vascular imaging and tumor perfusion analysis. AI-driven automation standardizes scan protocols and automates measurements (e.g., fetal biometry). Future directions of ultrasound may focus on integration with augmented reality (AR) systems, which can provide real-time anatomical guidance during surgeries. Emerging techniques like ultrasound super-resolution imaging promise cellular-level visualization, potentially revolutionizing point-of-care diagnostics.

Table 1. Comparison of key features of MRI, CT, and ultrasound Imaging

Feature	MRI	CT	ultrasound imaging
time to conduct image	30-60 minutes	usually less than one minutes	real-time imaging
radiation dosage	no radiation	2–10 mSv per scan	no radiation
application	muscle tissue, fat, blood vessel, and neural structures	bone, calcifications, and acute pathologies	obstetrics, cardiology, and abdominal imaging

According to Table 1, MRI, CT, and ultrasound imaging have their own characteristic. MRI takes the longest time to conduct the image, but performs well in soft tissue imaging; CT shows the accommodation in the bone structure, but the ionizing radiation; and ultrasound imaging supports real-time imaging. All of the three methods can be complementary in different clinical scenarios.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, magnetic resonance imaging is a non-invasive imaging method without ionizing radiation; it excels in soft tissue resolution; and is particularly suitable for brain tumors. However, the imaging efficiency of MRI is relatively low and prohibited for patients with metal implants. Compared to MRI, CT has a very fast imaging time and has high-resolution bone imaging. However, CT technology still has some shortcomings: insufficient soft tissue contrast, the risk of ionizing radiation, and a high-level dependence on contract agents. Besides, ultrasound imaging has real-time dynamic imaging and is cost-effective with lightweight equipment. However, ultrasound imaging is still affected by the structure of some special organs and lacks tissue differentiation ability. For MRI, accelerating its scanning time, optimizing its image analysis system, and reducing equipment costs are the main development directions for the future. The future development for CT direction mainly focuses on optimizing radiation levels and a combination of AI technology. The future development direction of ultrasound imaging mainly focuses on high-resolution imaging, the integration of other technologies, and the application of AI modules. Meanwhile, these three technologies can form a complementary relationship, and future innovative clinical imaging technologies can be combined with AI models and multi-module integration to provide convenience for people's lives.

References

[1] I. Rabi, J. R. Zacharias, S. Millman, *et al.* “A New Method of Measuring Nuclear Magnetic Moment,” *Physical Review*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 318 – 318, Feb. 1938, doi: 10.1103/physrev.53.318.

[2] A. Prasad. *Imperial Technoscience: Transnational Histories of MRI in the United States, Britain, and India*. MIT Press, 2014.

[3] J. Garcia, N. A. Buchwald, B. H. Feder, R. A. Koelling, *et al.* “Sensitivity of the Head to X-Ray,” *Science*, vol. 144, no. 3625, pp. 1470 – 1472, Jun. 1964, doi: 10.1126/science.144.3625.1470.

[4] J. Radon. “Über die Bestimmung von Funktionen durch ihre Integralwerte längs gewisser Mannigfaltigkeiten,” *Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics*, pp. 71 – 86, 1983, doi: 10.1090/psapm/027/692055.

[5] C. Richmond. “Sir Godfrey Hounsfield,” *BMJ*, vol. 329, no. 7467, p. 687.1, Sep. 2004, doi: 10.1136/bmj.329.7467.687.

[6] R. F. Mould. “Pierre Curie, 1859 – 1906,” *Current Oncology*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 74 – 82, Apr. 2007, doi: 10.3747/co.2007.110.

[7] R. L. Eisenberg, *Radiology: An Illustrated History*. 1992.

[8] Q. Wang. “Dual-Modality Virtual Biopsy System Integrating MRI and MG for Noninvasive Predicting HER2 Status in Breast Cancer,” *Academic Radiology*, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.acra.2025.02.039.

[9] H. Y. Abu Mhanna. “Systematic review of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) applications in the preoperative planning and treatment assessment of brain tumors,” *Heliyon*, vol. 11, no. 3, p. e42464, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon. 2025.e42464.

[10] C. Li. “Diagnostic Performance of Fractional Flow Reserve Derived from Coronary CT Angiography,” *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions*, vol. 17, no. 17, pp. 1980 – 1992, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2024.06.027.

[11] L. Sukupova. “Comparison of radiation dose and image quality for abdominal CT exams using photon-counting and energy-integrating CT: A self-controlled study including optimized patient positioning,” *Radiography*, vol. 31, no. 3, p. 102909, May 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.radi.2025.102909.

[12] P. Wang. “Early detection of Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia (BPD) in preterm infants using doppler ultrasound technology,” *SLAS Technology*, vol. 31, p. 100249, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.slast.2025.100249.

[13] D. Zaidi, I. Talib, M. B. Riaz, Md. N. Alam. “Extending spectral methods to solve time fractional-order Bloch equations using generalized Laguerre polynomials,” *Partial Differential Equations in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 13, p. 101049, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.padiff.2024.101049.

[14] Z. Lin. “Structural design and analysis of 7 T active-shield animal MRI magnet system,” *Physica C: Superconductivity and its Applications*, vol. 628, p. 1354630, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.physc.2024.1354630.

[15] Z. Li. “Study of two contact-less tuning principles for small monolithic radiofrequency MRI coils and development of an automated system based on piezoelectric motor,” *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, vol. 241, pp. 176 – 189, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.sna.2016.02.008.

[16] Y. Wang, W. Wang, H. Liu, S. Chen, *et al.* "Gradient coil design with enhanced shielding constraint for a cryogen-free superconducting MRI system," *Magnetic Resonance Letters*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 100086, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.mrl.2023.09.001.

[17] P. E. Grabowski. "Review of the first charged-particle transport coefficient comparison workshop," *High Energy Density Physics*, vol. 37, p. 100905, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.hedp.2020.100905.

[18] G. Verfaillie, J. Rutten, L. Dewulf, Y. D'Asseler, *et al.* "Influence of X-ray spectrum and bowtie filter characterization on the accuracy of Monte Carlo simulated organ doses: Validation in a whole-body CT scanning mode," *Physica Medica*, vol. 127, p. 104837, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ejmp.2024.104837.

[19] P. A. Cheremkhin, I. A. Shevkunov, and N. V. Petrov. "Multiple-wavelength Color Digital Holography for Monochromatic Image Reconstruction," *Physics Procedia*, vol. 73, pp. 301 – 307, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.phpro.2015.09.142.

[20] D. J. Levine, J. M. Berman, M. Harris, *et al.* "Sensitivity of Myoma Imaging Using Laparoscopic Ultrasound Compared with Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Transvaginal Ultrasound," *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 770 – 774, Nov. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.jmig.2013.04.015.

[21] L. Chen. "Real-time imaging and geometric characterization of laser ultrasound based on array scanning optimization and delay-multiply-and-sum," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 224, p. 112206, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.ymssp.2024.112206.