Research On Motion Planning for Intelligent Driving

Buwen Zhang*

School of Mechanical and Automotive Engineering, South China University of Technology,
Guangzhou, China

*Corresponding author: 202370306011@mail.scut.edu.cn

Abstract. With the ongoing maturation of automated vehicle (AV) technology, motion planning has become a fundamental component to ensure its safe, efficient, and comfortable operation. This paper presents a comprehensive survey of motion planning techniques for intelligent driving systems. It commences with a summary of the theoretical objectives and their theoretical underpinnings in the perception-decision-control architecture. Additionally, it elucidates how motion planning connects high-level behavioural decisions with low-level control commands. The paper examines the following principal algorithms: graph-search methods, sampling-based planners, and spline-based path generation, as well as model predictive control (MPC) under dynamic constraints for trajectory synthesis. Each class of method is analysed concerning obstacle avoidance, compliance with traffic regulations, and dynamic feasibility. On the other hand, it highlights emerging trends in the integration of real-time perception and reactive safety machines. It explores prospective directions for human-inspired planning paradigms, vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) cooperation, and ethical considerations. Based on the research, this survey lays the groundwork for the development of motion planners capable of tackling the complexities of real-world traffic. Intelligent motion planning thus remains a key driver in advancing automated driving toward a safer, more human-centric mobility paradigm.

Keywords: Automated Vehicles; Motion Planning; Path Planning; Trajectory Planning; Model Predictive Control.

1. Introduction

As currently constructed, Automated Vehicles (AVs) are merging as a crucial element of future intelligent transportation systems. Their commitment to facilitating safer and more efficient road travel is consistently being realised through technological advancements. And intelligent driving is a control technology via the usage of advanced perception and decision-making to reach a range of improvements. For instance, it can help reduce traffic congestion, increase transportation efficiency, and improve driving safety simultaneously. Among these, the AV's ability to automatically generate appropriate trajectories is critical to accomplishing these benefits and ensuring reliable operation and obstacle avoidance. And the motion planning algorithms, a significant component of AV's decision-making system, associate the high-level routing with low-level control actions. Therefore, this enables the real-time generation of safe, collision-free, and optimal trajectories, even under complex, dynamic environmental conditions.

However, this is still a great challenge to achieve robust motion decision planning for vehicles in the current real-world traffic. Urban driving scenarios remain fraught with uncertainty due to the mix of human driving and automated vehicle technologies, as well as the unpredictability of obstacles and other drivers on the road. This challenge is particularly demonstrated in the Collision Avoidance Problem (CAP). This core problem depends on the vehicle's execution of necessary behaviours (i.e., braking or lane-changing) to avoid obstacles or unexpected hazards and is considered one of the key issues in automated driving research. Even though current automated driving technology has made good progress in terms of driver assistance systems to reduce the risk of accidents caused by driver fatigue or unexpected conditions, such as adaptive cruise control, lane keeping, and emergency braking. There are still a variety of difficulties to ensure that AVs can deal with more complex collision scenarios. These scenarios focus on testing the limits of vehicle manoeuvring and dynamic stability and require decision makers to react rapidly at the boundaries of physical capabilities. To solve this key problem, it involves vehicle decision-making and trajectory planning—the ability to formulate safe and efficient driving routes and obstacle avoidance strategies. And it will detect whether the vehicle can guarantee driving stability and safety when facing complex scenarios based on information passed by vehicle sensors.

To address these critical challenges, researchers have progressively advanced from early robotic algorithms to more sophisticated, integrated frameworks, continually exploring diverse motion-planning methodologies. Contemporary planners must consider not only the geometric feasibility of a path but also dynamic constraints, multi-objective trade-offs, and interactions with other agents. Accordingly, Contemporary planners must consider not only the geometric feasibility of a path but also dynamic constraints, multi-objective trade-offs, and interactions with other agents. For instance, the incorporation of vehicle-to-vehicle communication (V2V) can provide real-time traffic information to enhance perception, while the integration of human driving experience or "human-like" logic can make automated driving behaviour more natural in changing and complex traffic scenarios [1,2]. These innovations are aimed at improving safety and efficiency, ensuring that the "performance"-efficiency, smoothness, and "safety"-collision avoidance, compliance aspects of problem solving are as good as possible.

This paper includes the basic concepts of automated driving as well as motion planning. It introduces the core techniques and algorithms for path planning are presented, including classical path planning methods and advanced trajectory planning under dynamic constraints. Eventually, the paper summarizes the current state of research in the field. And identifies future research directions and possible challenges. Through these, it aims to present path planning technology algorithms for intelligent driving vehicles.

2. Theoretical Foundations- Motion Planning for Intelligent Driving Vehicles

2.1. Definition of motion planning

In the context of the development of automated driving technology, motion planning refers to the generation of a series of feasible state sequences, including paths or trajectories for an automated vehicle. This can ensure that the safely, smoothly, and efficiently transitions from its current state to a desired goal state. Compared to advanced path planning, the one aims mainly at global path generation at the road network level. The motion planning focuses more on real-time operations on a local scale, usually involving specific geometric paths or trajectory generation with temporal parameters.

Motion planning is at the decision-making level in the perception-decision-control architecture of an automated driving system. Its main role is to connect the behavioural decision-making and the lower-level control modules. Behavioural decision-making module makes high-level strategy choices, such as changing lanes, overtaking, or stopping, based on the environmental information (i.e., road structure, traffic rules, dynamic obstacle states) provided by the perception layer. On the other hand, the motion planning refines the decision-making results to calculate feasible trajectories that satisfy the dynamic constraints (including vehicle speed, acceleration, steering angle rate, etc.) and the vehicle kinematics model. To ensure that the trajectories are physically accessible, dynamically controllable, and equipped with collision avoidance capability and rule compliance. Briefly, the behavioural decision module decides "what to do", while the motion planning module decides "how to do".

2.2. Objectives of motion planning

In automated driving systems, the main objectives of motion planning are to determine its safety, efficiency, and comfort. Among them, safety is always prioritized, and the planned trajectories need to effectively avoid static and dynamic obstacles, avoid collisions, and maintain a safe distance from other road users. Efficiency manifests in minimizing travel delay, optimizing route length, and enforcing traffic regulations (e.g., speed limits, signal compliance). Comfort emphasizes trajectory smoothness and dynamic stability, seeking to avoid abrupt acceleration, deceleration, or sharp turns to enhance passenger experience and vehicle control. To achieve these objectives, modern motion planners typically formulate a multi-objective optimization problem or adopt multi-criteria decision strategies that balance safety, efficiency, and comfort; the specific technical approaches for doing so are discussed in subsequent sections.

A further critical consideration is the modelling and integration of vehicle dynamics and dynamic constraints. Kinematic constraints capture the vehicle's fundamental physical structure, such as minimum turning radius limited by wheelbase and steering geometry, while dynamic constraints involve operational limits on speed, acceleration, and tire forces, which, if exceeded, risk loss of control. For example, a collision-free path that requires a high-speed, tight turn may surpass the tire's adhesion limit, leading to skidding or rollover. Accordingly, modern planners either embed dynamic feasibility checks into the trajectory generation phase or explicitly incorporate such constraints during planning. Li illustrates this approach by optimizing obstacle-avoidance paths while simultaneously accounting for nonlinear tire dynamics, lateral stability boundaries, and anti-skid limits to ensure dynamic stability under extreme manoeuvres [3]. At the control layer, they employ a high-fidelity vehicle model within a nonlinear model predictive control (NMPC) framework, enforcing steering-actuator limits, rollover thresholds, and tire-friction boundaries as optimization constraints [3].

Moreover, model predictive control has emerged as the dominant paradigm for unifying dynamic constraints with obstacle-avoidance objectives: by solving a constrained optimal-control problem over a rolling horizon, MPC yields trajectories and control inputs that balance controllability, safety, and performance. Finally, ethical and legal considerations impose additional "soft" or "hard" constraints on planning: automated systems must strictly adhere to traffic laws (e.g., stopping at red lights, yielding to pedestrians) and societal norms. In extreme scenarios (i.e., trolley-problem-type dilemmas), most implementations avoid the issue by minimizing overall system risk. But current research is exploring rule-based cost functions or constraint mechanisms to align planner behaviour more closely with human expectations and legal requirements, even at the expense of some efficiency or manoeuvring freedom.

2.3. Perception and decision processes

In the result, motion planning is an essential decision-making aspect of an automated driving system that connects perception and control. The perception system acquires the state (e.g., position, speed, and predicted intent) of the drivable area, static obstacles, and dynamic traffic participants in real time through sensors such as cameras and LiDAR. Due to the dynamics and uncertainty of the environment, motion planning typically employs a rolling line-of-sight mechanism that continuously generates short-duration trajectories for the next few seconds and updates them at a high frequency, about 10- 50 Hz, based on the latest sensory information. This closed-loop updating allows the vehicle to flexibly respond to environmental changes [4].

To enhance safety, the system usually integrates reactive obstacle avoidance mechanisms. When an emergency obstacle is detected, the system can trigger strategies such as emergency braking to avoid a potential collision, even if the current trajectory is at risk. Although such mechanisms may disrupt the smoothness of the trajectory, a reasonable system design should reserve emergency space in the trajectory planning and have the safety module monitor the critical distance in real time.

Ultimately, motion planning is a constrained optimal control problem that optimizes driving efficiency and ride comfort while satisfying physical feasibility and safety. Its approach integrates multidisciplinary technologies such as robot path planning, control theory, and artificial intelligence [5,6]. And the current research is working to translate the theoretical principles into high-performance engineering implementations.

3. Motion Planning Key Technologies

3.1. Path planning techniques

Path planning involves determining a feasible path for a mobile agent such that it travels from a specified start configuration to a goal configuration without collisions. In classical robotics, this problem is typically posed under the simplified kinematic model. For example, a point mass or a circular robot lacking dynamic attributes and is navigating within a static environment [7]. As further research, many of these foundational path-planning algorithms have been adapted for automated driving applications.

3.1.1 Graph-based searching

Dijkstra's algorithm and the A* search algorithm both operate on a graph-based representation of the environment. In automated driving, the graph is often implicit. Like embodied as a grid of traversable cells laid over the terrain. These methods systematically explore all possible routes and guarantee the retrieval of an optimal path when one exists. D* and its extensions build on this by considering cost dynamic updating, i.e., when faced with a change in the map or the appearance of a new obstacle. Heuristic-driven search variants (i.e., weighted A* or anytime algorithms) allow a tenable trade-off between optimality and computation time, making them well-suited for scenarios with stringent real-time requirements.

3.1.2 Sampling-based planning

Methods such as Rapid Exploration Random Trees (RRTs) and Probabilistic Roadmaps (PRMs) both construct paths by sampling random states in space and connecting them into trees or graphs. These methods work well in high-dimensional spaces, such as when considering vehicle orientation and other state variables [8]. They do not require an explicit grid, unlike A*. If a feasible path exists, RRT can still find it quickly, even though it is not very good at finding optimal paths compared to A* or Dijkstra. However, improved variants such as RRT* can gradually reach the optimal solution. For automated parking or complex manoeuvres, sampling methods can effectively handle narrow aisles and complex geometries.

3.1.3 Interpolation curve method

Additionally, another class of methods generates paths using parametric curves, such as splines, Bézier curves, or parabolic segments. Considering that polynomial spirals or spline functions can yield smooth trajectories that satisfy curvature constraints. These techniques typically commence with a coarse waypoint sequence, often provided by a high-level route planner or a sparse sampling algorithm. And after that, they fit a smooth curve to avoid obstacles. Curve-based planners are capable of very fast execution and produce human-like, fluid trajectories, although ensuring obstacle clearance still demands careful constraint handling or iterative refinement. A B-spline–based path generator was used at the high-level planning stage to produce an optimal trajectory prioritizing safety and stability, demonstrating the integration of geometric smoothness with dynamic feasibility [3].

Overall, path-planning techniques must be highly efficient to support real-time operation. As research has progressed, numerous enhancements have been introduced, hybridizing search with analytic solvers for faster convergence and exploiting precomputed roadmaps in known environments. Additionally, contemporary planners are frequently incorporated with perception systems, which enables the direct updating of cost functions based on sensor inputs to enhance responsiveness. In the past, automated vehicle path planning has been divided into two stages: a discrete search or sampling phase that identifies a feasible coarse route (often simplifying or ignoring some vehicle constraints), followed by a continuous optimisation phase that refines the path to better satisfy constraints and to optimise metrics such as smoothness, path length, and clearance. This two-stage paradigm identifies viable routes in difficult surroundings and adjusts them to satisfy dynamic and comfort needs.

3.2. Trajectory Planning and Generation

3.2.1 From paths to trajectories: the need for time and dynamics

Although the geometric path produced by planning algorithms specifies the spatial route the vehicle should follow, a trajectory defines how that path is traversed and when motion occurs along it. Trajectory planning, often called Kino dynamic planning, integrates vehicle dynamics with temporal parameterization to ensure that the vehicle operates within its acceleration, velocity, and handling limits. In practice, many motion-planning systems jointly generate spatial paths and velocity profiles, yielding trajectories that are both safe and smooth [9].

A common approach is to decouple path planning and velocity planning, which specifically means to first plan a path and then plan a velocity profile on that path. However, when paths and timing interact, decoupling may not provide an optimal solution. It is also for this reason that patio-temporal planning is approached by searching directly in the 2D space of path distances versus times or even in the full 4D state-timing space of more complex scenarios.

3.2.2 Optimization-based trajectory planning and MPC

Model Predictive Control (MPC) has emerged as a leading technique for dynamic trajectory planning. Within the MPC framework, an optimal-control problem is solved over a short receding horizon to minimise a composite cost function that balances smoothness, safety, comfort, and progress. Constraints explicitly account for vehicle dynamics, actuator limits, and obstacle avoidance. MPC operates in a receding-horizon manner, continuously re-optimizing as new sensor data arrive. For example, the planner may penalize deviations from a desired speed while enforcing steering and acceleration commands within their physical bounds. When obstacles are detected, safety buffers are imposed as additional constraints, sometimes parameterized using predictions of other vehicles' future trajectories [10]. By leveraging MPC, planners ensure that generated trajectories remain dynamically feasible throughout execution, especially when coupled with high-fidelity vehicle models.

4. Future improvements and challenges

Considering future applications, motion planning in automatic driving will face several cutting-edge research paths and open issues that will play a critical role in next-generation AV systems. One key tendency is the adoption of more human-like planning methodologies. As automated vehicles share the road with human drivers and pedestrians, their behaviour must be natural and interpretable. Human drivers frequently rely on social cues, nonverbal signs, and intuitive judgements of other people's intentions; therefore, future motion planners must incorporate social behaviour models to recreate these complicated interactions. Examples include selecting acceleration profiles that feel comfortable and satisfy human expectations, making courteous lane changes in congested traffic, and anticipating and reacting to the expected responses of nearby vehicles. Such "human-centric" planning not only enhances passenger comfort but also builds public trust and acceptance by aligning vehicle behaviour with societal driving norms and habits.

Another important study area is implementing vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communication and networking directly into motion planning algorithms. As intelligent transportation systems evolve, autonomous vehicles will no longer act as isolated agents, but rather as networked nodes that share information and make collaborative decisions. Planners can greatly improve overall traffic safety and efficiency by exchanging intents and perceptual data in real time. Examples include platooned lane changes, cooperative intersection crossing, and congestion reduction via synchronised control. However, these collaborative schemes present technical challenges in multi-agent coordination complexity, communication robustness (e.g., against signal loss or malicious interference), and modelling inter-vehicle negotiation strategies, all of which necessitate careful consideration in algorithm design and system architecture.

Furthermore, the ethical implications of motion planning must be considered. Autonomous vehicles will inevitably confront circumstances involving possible harm or competing interests, posing moral quandaries: how can a planner balance passenger safety against the risk to other road users? Should the system ever violate traffic rules in an emergency to avoid further harm? Although these concerns go beyond traditional engineering and involve ethics, law, and public policy, they must be proactively modelled and integrated into motion planning frameworks from the start.

Future breakthroughs in motion planning will require interdisciplinary collaboration across robotics, control theory, artificial intelligence, traffic engineering, and ethics [5]. Autonomous vehicles can provide dependable, safe, and comfortable mobility in complex real-world environments by addressing human-machine interaction, multi-vehicle coordination, information security, and moral compliance in tandem, propelling intelligent transportation towards higher levels of safety and sustainability.

5. Conclusion

Motion planning is a fundamental component of intelligent driving and plays an important role in the perception-decision-control pipeline. It is critical to the safe and effective operation of self-driving vehicles. This study provides an overview of motion planning approaches and their significance in the autonomous driving system. Our analysis covers the key technologies used by vehicles to navigate difficult terrain, including conventional path-planning algorithms and modern trajectory-generation approaches based on model predictive control (MPC). Path planners use road geometry and static impediments to create collision-free paths. MPC-based trajectory generators refine these routes into accurate trajectories that meet vehicle kinematic and dynamic restrictions. Together, these techniques ensure that vehicle motions are not only geometrically valid but also physically realizable, smooth, and safe.

Furthermore, we explore motion planning at the system level as a layer that connects decision making, perception, and control. Motion planning requires perceptual modules to create a model of nearby vehicles, pedestrians, obstacles, and road conditions. It works alongside higher-level behaviour-planning modules to specify targets (e.g., lane changes, turns, or stops). Motion planning is the link between perception and action, translating high-level judgements into executable trajectories that accurately reflect vehicle intentions on the road.

In conclusion, motion-planning technologies have made significant advances in developing effective and reliable solutions, bringing autonomous vehicles closer to human-level driving performance. Nonetheless, challenges remain, as vehicles will continually encounter increasingly complex and uncertain scenarios.

References

[1] Zhang Shiyao, Wang Shuai, Yu Shuai, Yu James J. Q., Wen Miaowen. Collision avoidance predictive motion planning based on integrated perception and V2V communication. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 2022, 23(7): 9640–9653.

[2] Xie Jiming, Qin Yaqin, Zhang Yan, Chen Tianshun, Wang Bijun, Zhang Qiyue, Xia Yulan. Towards human-like automated vehicles: review and perspectives on behavioural decision making and intelligent motion planning. Transportation Safety and Environment, 2025, 7(1): tdae005.

[3] Li Zhichao, Li Junqiu, Wang Weichen. Path planning and obstacle avoidance control for autonomous multi-axis distributed vehicles based on dynamic constraints. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, 2023, 72(4): 4342–4356.

[4] Ammour M, Orjuela R, Basset M. A MPC combined decision making and trajectory planning for autonomous vehicle collision avoidance[J]. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 2022, 23(12): 24805-24817.

[5] Abd-Alrasool F M, Al-Moadhen A A, Kamil H G. Intelligent Control for Obstacle Avoidance in the Self-Driving Car[C]. 2022 International Conference on Data Science and Intelligent Computing (ICDSIC). IEEE, 2022: 141-146.

[6] Kim C, Yoon Y, Kim S, et al. Trajectory planning and control of autonomous vehicles for static vehicle avoidance in dynamic traffic environments[J]. IEEE Access, 2023, 11: 5772-5788.

[7] Borrello G, Lorusso L, Basso M, et al. Trajectory Planning Based on Model Predictive Control with Dynamic Obstacle Avoidance in Unstructured Environments[C]. 2024 European Control Conference (ECC). IEEE, 2024: 448-455.

[8] Reda M, Onsy A, Haikal A Y, et al. Path planning algorithms in the autonomous driving system: A comprehensive review[J]. Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 2024, 174: 104630.

[9] Arrigoni S, Braghin F, Cheli F. MPC trajectory planner for autonomous driving solved by genetic algorithm technique[J]. Vehicle system dynamics, 2022, 60(12): 4118-4143.

[10] Arrigoni S., Braghin F., Cheli F., MPC trajectory planner for autonomous driving solved by genetic algorithm technique. Vehicle System Dynamics, 2022, 60(12): 4118–4143.