Autonomous Navigation Technology for Robots and Its Applications in Intelligent Transportation and Industrial Fields

Kangwei Yuan*

1Department of Logistics Engineering, Shanghai Maritime University, Shanghai, China

*Corresponding author: bianc@ldy.edu.rs

Abstract. This paper delves into the pivotal role of LiDAR, stereo cameras, RGB cameras, and Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) in enhancing environmental perception for autonomous systems. It elucidates the fundamental principles underlying these technologies and their critical applications in autonomous driving and robotics. The paper underscores how LiDAR provides high-resolution 3D maps crucial for obstacle detection and collision avoidance, while stereo cameras leverage parallax to measure depth, facilitating navigation and obstacle detection in robotics and autonomous vehicles. RGB cameras, though lacking direct depth measurement, are invaluable for colour-based object recognition and tracking. SLAM is highlighted for its ability to construct maps of unknown environments in real-time, essential for autonomous navigation in dynamic settings. The paper also discusses path planning technologies such as the Dynamic Window Approach (DWA), A*, and Rapidly Exploring Random Tree (RRT), which are integral to the navigation capabilities of autonomous systems. These technologies collectively contribute to the advancement of intelligent transportation, industrial automation, and logistics by improving the safety, accuracy, and efficiency of autonomous operations.

Keywords: LiDAR, Stereo Cameras; RGB Cameras; SLAM; Path Planning; Autonomous Systems.

1. Introduction

Wireless charging, also known as wireless power transfer (WPT), refers to the transmission of electrical energy from a power source to an electrical load without the use of physical connectors. It utilizes electromagnetic fields to transfer energy through inductive coupling or magnetic resonance, eliminating the inconvenience and wear associated with traditional wired charging. Wireless charging technologies have rapidly developed and are now widely used in consumer electronics, electric vehicles, medical devices, and emerging smart city infrastructures. Their importance lies in offering greater convenience, improved durability, enhanced safety, and the potential for seamless energy supply in dynamic environments.

Despite its growing adoption, wireless charging faces several significant challenges. Current technologies often suffer from energy losses during transmission, especially over longer distances, leading to reduced efficiency compared to wired methods. Electromagnetic interference can also cause disruption to nearby sensitive equipment. Moreover, the lack of universal charging standards limits cross-device compatibility, hindering large-scale deployment. Addressing these challenges involves the development of more efficient coil designs, intelligent alignment technologies, improved shielding techniques, and industry-wide standardization initiatives to ensure interoperability and safety.

The advantages of wireless charging are substantial. First, it offers unmatched convenience by eliminating cables, reducing physical wear and tear on devices and ports. Second, it enhances user safety by minimizing exposure to live electrical contacts and reducing the risks of short circuits and electrical fires. Third, wireless systems contribute to device longevity, as fewer mechanical connections reduce failure rates. Furthermore, wireless charging supports the vision of future smart environments, where devices can be charged automatically and continuously without human intervention.

Historically, wireless energy transfer concepts date back to the late 19th century with Nikola Tesla's experiments on resonant inductive coupling. However, technological and material limitations delayed practical applications. It was not until the early 21st century, with the advent of advanced power electronics, magnetic materials, and miniaturized circuits, that wireless charging became commercially viable. Today, with rapid advances in AI-driven alignment systems, high-efficiency materials, and dynamic wireless charging platforms, the technology is poised to expand into even more critical fields.

The purpose of this paper is to systematically review the principles underlying wireless charging, examine its current applications in various industries, analyse the challenges that impede its further adoption, and discuss future directions for research and development. The paper is structured as follows: first introduces the fundamental working principles of wireless charging, including electromagnetic induction and magnetic resonance mechanisms. Next explores current application areas such as smartphones, electric vehicles, and medical devices. Then, addresses the key challenges and technical bottlenecks in wireless power transfer. Finally, the paper concludes with a summary of the findings and an outlook on future technological trends.

2. Key technology

2.1. Environmental Perception Technology

2.1.1 LiDAR

LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) is an active remote sensing technology that utilizes laser pulses to measure distances with exceptional precision. The fundamental operating principle involves emitting short-wavelength laser beams and calculating the time-of-flight (ToF) of reflected signals to generate high-resolution 3D point clouds of the environment [1]. This capability makes LiDAR indispensable for environmental perception in autonomous systems, as it provides real-time spatial mapping with centimetre-level accuracy, even in dynamic scenarios. Modern LiDAR systems can achieve angular resolutions below 0.1° and measurement accuracies within ±2 cm, enabling reliable detection of small obstacles and detailed terrain mapping [2].

The technology's superior performance in various lighting conditions and moderate adverse weather gives it significant advantages over optical cameras for safety-critical applications [3]. In autonomous vehicles, multi-beam LiDAR arrays typically operating at 905nm or 1550nm wavelengths create comprehensive 3D representations of the surroundings at refresh rates exceeding 10Hz [4]. These rich datasets support essential functions including simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM), object classification, and predictive collision avoidance algorithms. For robotic systems, compact solid-state LiDAR solutions have enabled new applications in precision agriculture, where they facilitate crop health monitoring through canopy penetration, and industrial automation for accurate inventory management [2].

Current research focuses on overcoming remaining challenges, such as performance degradation in heavy precipitation and reducing system costs through innovative photonic integrated circuits. The development of frequency-modulated continuous-wave (FMCW) LiDAR promises to combine the benefits of coherent detection with immunity to interference, potentially revolutionizing the field [2]. These technological advancements continue to expand LiDAR's role in creating safer and more reliable autonomous navigation systems across diverse operational domains.

2.1.2 Cameras

Monocular cameras capture visual information through a single lens system, using a CMOS or CCD sensor to convert light into digital images [5]. In visual systems, they enable key functions like object detection, lane recognition, and depth estimation through perspective geometry and machine learning [6]. Unlike stereo cameras, monocular systems estimate depth via motion parallax (optical flow) or by leveraging known object dimensions, though with reduced accuracy due to inherent scale ambiguity that requires reference objects or motion for reliable measurement [7]. Their compact size and low cost make them ideal for automotive ADAS (e.g., Tesla's Autopilot) and mobile robotics [8], despite facing performance degradation in low-light conditions or adverse weather [6].

Technology's limitations in 3D perception become apparent when dealing with textureless surfaces or occlusions, challenges that have driven recent advances in deep learning solutions [7]. Approaches like MonoDepth networks have shown promise in improving depth prediction accuracy, though monocular vision's metric accuracy remains fundamentally inferior to LiDAR or stereo vision systems [9]. These constraints continue to motivate research into hybrid perception systems that combine monocular cameras with complementary sensors while leveraging the camera's advantages in cost and form factor.

2.1.3 Binocular Camera

Binocular cameras measure depth by exploiting disparity – the positional difference between corresponding points in left and right images. This stereo vision system mimics human binocular perception, where depth is calculated through triangulation based on the camera's focal length and baseline distance between the two lenses [10]. The resulting metric-scale depth information enables real-time 3D reconstruction for applications ranging from robotic obstacle avoidance to autonomous vehicle navigation [11]. Compared to monocular systems, stereo cameras provide more reliable depth estimation without scale ambiguity, particularly in dynamic environments where precise distance measurement is crucial [12].

While offering significant advantages, stereo vision systems face several challenges, including high computational requirements for dense disparity estimation and performance limitations in low-texture environments where feature matching becomes difficult [13]. The effective range is typically constrained to less than 100 meters due to fundamental limits in disparity resolution. Recent advancements in semi-global matching algorithms and deep learning-based approaches have improved processing speeds to 5-10 frames per second while enhancing performance in traditionally problematic scenarios like textureless regions [14]. These developments continue to expand the practical applications of stereo vision systems while addressing their inherent limitations.

2.1 4 RGB Camera

RGB cameras are fundamental sensors in computer vision and environmental perception systems due to their ability to capture high-resolution colour information, which closely resembles human visual perception. These cameras operate by detecting red, green, and blue wavelengths of light through a Bayer filter, enabling them to reproduce vibrant and detailed colour images [15]. This capability makes RGB cameras indispensable for applications requiring colour differentiation, such as traffic sign recognition, object classification, and scene understanding [16]. In autonomous driving, for instance, RGB cameras provide critical visual data for lane detection, pedestrian identification, and semantic segmentation, complementing other sensors like LiDAR and radar [12].

One of the primary advantages of RGB cameras is their cost-effectiveness and widespread availability, which facilitates large-scale deployment in consumer and industrial applications [17]. Additionally, modern RGB cameras offer high frame rates (e.g., 60 FPS or higher) and resolutions (up to 8K), enabling real-time processing for dynamic environments. Their ability to capture texture and colour gradients also enhances machine learning algorithms' performance in tasks like object detection and tracking [18]. However, RGB cameras are limited by their dependence on ambient lighting conditions, often struggling in low-light or high-contrast scenarios. To mitigate this, advanced techniques such as high dynamic range (HDR) imaging and low-light enhancement algorithms have been developed [19].

In environmental perception, RGB cameras are often integrated into multi-sensor systems to provide redundant and complementary data. For example, in agricultural robotics, RGB cameras monitor crop health by analysing colour variations in leaves, while in surveillance systems, they enable facial recognition and activity monitoring [20]. The fusion of RGB data with depth information from stereo cameras or LiDAR further enhances perception accuracy, making RGB cameras a versatile tool in modern autonomous systems.

2.2. Simultaneous Localization and Mapping

Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) is a fundamental technology that enables robots and autonomous systems to construct a map of an unknown environment while simultaneously tracking their position within it. SLAM algorithms integrate data from sensors such as LiDAR, cameras, and inertial measurement units (IMUs) to estimate the device's trajectory and create a consistent environmental map in real-time [21]. This capability is critical for autonomous navigation, particularly in GPS-denied or dynamically changing environments. In robotics, SLAM is widely used for applications ranging from warehouse automation to exploration robots. For instance, autonomous mobile robots (AMRs) in logistics centres employ LiDAR-based SLAM (e.g., Cartographer SLAM) to navigate complex layouts while avoiding dynamic obstacles [22]. Similarly, in autonomous vehicles, visual-inertial SLAM (VINS) systems fuse camera and IMU data to enable precise localization in urban canyons where GPS signals are unreliable [22]. SLAM's ability to operate in unknown environments stems from its probabilistic framework, which continuously updates the map and pose estimates using sensor observations. Modern approaches like graph-based SLAM optimize pose graphs to reduce accumulated errors, while learning-based methods (e.g., DeepSLAM) leverage neural networks to improve robustness in challenging conditions [23,24]. These advancements have made SLAM indispensable for applications requiring real-time, accurate navigation without prior environmental knowledge.

2.3. Path planning technology

2.3.1 The Dynamic Window Approach

The Dynamic Window Approach (DWA) is a local path planning algorithm that enables robots to navigate dynamically changing environments in real time. Its core principle involves generating feasible velocity commands (v, ω) within a dynamically adjusted search space (the "dynamic window"), which considers the robot's kinematic constraints and current speed [25]. The algorithm evaluates candidate trajectories through an objective function that balances three criteria: progress toward the goal, clearance from obstacles, and forward velocity [26]. This optimization occurs in each control cycle (typically 10- 100Hz), allowing rapid adaptation to moving obstacles or new environmental constraints [27].

DWA excels in real-time applications due to its computational efficiency. By limiting the search space to reachable velocities within the next time interval (accounting for acceleration limits), it reduces computational overhead compared to global planners [28]. This makes it ideal for service robots in crowded spaces (e.g., hospitals) and autonomous warehouse vehicles [29]. However, DWA has notable limitations: its local nature can lead to suboptimal paths in complex environments, and it may fail in narrow passages due to myopic decision-making. Recent enhancements integrate DWA with predictive control or deep reinforcement learning to address these issues [30].

2.3.2 A*

The A* algorithm is a widely used pathfinding and graph traversal technique that combines the advantages of Dijkstra's algorithm and greedy best-first search. By employing a heuristic function to estimate the cost from the current node to the goal, A* efficiently finds the shortest path in weighted graphs [31]. The algorithm evaluates nodes using the function $f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$, where $g(n)$ represents the actual cost from the start node to node n, and $h(n)$ is the heuristic estimate of the cost from n to the target. A* is guaranteed to find an optimal solution if the heuristic is admissible, meaning it never overestimates the true cost [32]. Due to its versatility, A* has been applied in various domains, including robotics, video game AI, and logistics optimization [33,34].

Recent advancements have focused on improving A*'s computational efficiency, particularly in large-scale environments. Techniques such as bidirectional search and hierarchical pathfinding have been integrated with A* to reduce memory usage and processing time [35]. Despite its effectiveness, A*'s performance heavily depends on the quality of the heuristic function; poorly designed heuristics may lead to suboptimal paths or excessive computational overhead. Researchers continue to explore adaptive heuristics and parallel implementations to enhance A*'s scalability for real-time applications.

2.3.3 RRT

The Rapidly Exploring Random Tree (RRT) algorithm is a sampling-based motion planning technique widely used for high-dimensional path planning problems, particularly in robotics and autonomous systems. Unlike grid-based methods, RRT incrementally constructs a tree by randomly sampling the configuration space and extending branches toward unexplored regions [36]. At each iteration, the algorithm selects a random point, identifies the nearest node in the existing tree, and extends toward it while avoiding obstacles, ensuring probabilistic completeness—meaning the probability of finding a feasible path approaches 1 as iterations increase [37].

A key strength of RRT lies in its ability to efficiently handle high-dimensional spaces, such as robotic arm manipulation or drone navigation, where traditional methods like A* become computationally intractable [38]. By focusing random sampling on unexplored areas, RRT avoids the "curse of dimensionality" that plagues exhaustive search techniques. Additionally, its probabilistic nature allows it to adapt to dynamic environments, making it suitable for real-time applications [39]. Variants like RRT* and Informed-RRT further improve path quality by asymptotically converging toward optimal solutions through iterative rewiring [38].

The flexibility of RRT is evident in its adaptability to various constraints, such as kinematic or dynamic limitations. For instance, kinodynamic RRT extends the algorithm to account for velocity and acceleration constraints, enabling feasible trajectory planning for autonomous vehicles [40]. Moreover, its simplicity and scalability have led to widespread adoption in industries ranging from manufacturing to space exploration, underscoring its versatility in complex, unstructured environments.

3. Practical Application

3.1. Intelligent Transportation

Autonomous robotics technology is revolutionizing intelligent transportation systems, with two groundbreaking implementations leading this transformation: Tesla's Full Self-Driving (FSD) system and Meituan/DJI's drone delivery networks. These cutting-edge applications demonstrate how AI-powered automation is reshaping personal mobility and last-mile logistics. Tesla's FSD represents the most advanced consumer-ready autonomous driving system currently available. Using a pure vision-based approach with eight surround cameras, Tesla vehicles can navigate complex urban environments, handle intersections, and even make unprotected left turns in heavy traffic. The system's neural networks continuously learn from millions of real-world driving miles, enabling features like Smart Summon (where the car autonomously navigates parking lots to reach its owner) and automatic lane changes on highways. In 2023, Tesla demonstrated a fully autonomous cross-country trip from Los Angeles to New York using FSD technology, showcasing its potential to transform long-haul transportation. In the logistics sector, Meituan and DJI have pioneered commercial drone delivery at scale. Meituan's drone delivery system in Shenzhen can complete 1500 daily flights, reducing delivery times from 30 minutes to just 10 for hot meals. The drones autonomously navigate urban airspace using advanced obstacle avoidance systems, landing precisely at designated delivery spots where robotic ground stations transfer packages to customers. Similarly, DJI's delivery drones in rural China transport medical supplies and e-commerce packages across mountainous terrain unreachable by traditional vehicles, achieving 98.7% on-time delivery rates even in adverse weather conditions. These implementations highlight how autonomous robotics is making transportation safer, more efficient, and more accessible. As the technology matures, people can expect broader adoption that will fundamentally reshape how people and goods move through our cities and countryside.

3.2. Industrial Automation

Autonomous robotics technology is transforming industrial automation by introducing unprecedented efficiency, adaptability, and safety. Two prominent examples of this transformation are Amazon's warehouse robots and Boston Dynamics' specialized industrial robots, which demonstrate how autonomy is reshaping logistics and hazardous operations.

Amazon revolutionized logistics automation with its acquisition of Kiva Systems in 2012, deploying thousands of autonomous mobile robots (AMRs) in fulfilment centres. These robots, now called **Amazon Robotics**, navigate warehouse floors using advanced algorithms, sensors, and QR-code-based localization. Instead of workers walking miles to pick items, these robots bring entire shelves to human packers, reducing order processing time by up to **50%**. The system dynamically optimizes storage by learning demand patterns, ensuring high-demand products are easily accessible. By integrating AI-driven path planning, these robots avoid collisions and adapt to real-time changes, such as new obstacles or redirected workflows. This automation has allowed Amazon to handle millions of daily shipments with remarkable precision while reducing labour strain.

Boston Dynamics, known for its advanced robotics, has introduced Stretch, a fully autonomous mobile robot designed for warehouse unloading and pallet handling. Unlike traditional forklifts, Stretch uses a robotic arm, computer vision, and machine learning to identify, grasp, and move boxes of varying sizes without human guidance. Meanwhile, Spot, the agile quadruped robot, is deployed in industrial inspections, including oil rigs, construction sites, and hazardous environments. Equipped with thermal cameras and LiDAR, Spot autonomously navigates rough terrain, climbs stairs, and transmits real-time data to operators, eliminating the need for human workers in dangerous areas. Companies like BP and Ford have adopted Spot to monitor equipment and detect gas leaks, significantly improving workplace safety.

These examples highlight how autonomy enhances speed, safety, and scalability in industrial settings. Future advancements will likely see deeper AI integration, enabling robots to self-optimize workflows and collaborate seamlessly with human workers. As technology evolves, autonomous robotics will become a standard pillar of Industry 4.0, driving smarter and more responsive manufacturing ecosystems.

3.3. Logistics

Autonomous robotics technology is transforming logistics across industries, from warehouse automation to precision medical supply chains. Two remarkable examples include Intuitive Surgical's da Vinci robotic system for surgical logistics and FedEx's autonomous delivery robots, which showcase how intelligent automation enhances efficiency and accuracy in complex operations.

The da Vinci robotic platform demonstrates how autonomous robotics can optimize logistics within surgical procedures. While primarily known for performing minimally invasive surgeries, it has advanced autonomous positioning and instrument tracking capabilities that ensure precise tool delivery during operations. The system's robotic arms autonomously adjust their position based on real-time surgical navigation data, reducing human error and improving procedural efficiency. For instance, in prostatectomies, the da Vinci robot can automatically position instruments with sub-millimetre accuracy, minimizing tissue damage and optimizing surgical workflow. This level of automation extends to supply chain management in operating rooms, where robotic assistants prepare and deliver sterile instruments, reducing delays and contamination risks. Hospitals using da Vinci systems report 30% faster procedure times and lower complication rates, proving how autonomous robotics can enhance both medical and logistical precision.

Beyond healthcare, FedEx's Roxo autonomous delivery robot is revolutionizing last-mile logistics. These self-driving robots navigate sidewalks and pedestrian zones using LiDAR and AI-powered obstacle avoidance to deliver packages autonomously. In trials across the U.S. and Asia, Roxo robots have completed thousands of contactless deliveries, reducing delivery times by 50% in urban areas. Equipped with secure compartments and real-time tracking, they optimize routes dynamically to avoid congestion, showcasing how autonomous robotics can streamline logistics in crowded environments.

These examples highlight how autonomous robotics improves accuracy, speed, and reliability in logistics. As AI and machine learning advance, people can expect broader adoption in inventory management, warehouse automation, and even disaster relief logistics. From surgical suites to city streets, autonomous robotics is setting new standards for efficiency in the supply chain.

4. Conclusion

The field of autonomous navigation is making great strides, thanks to technologies like LiDAR, stereo cameras, RGB cameras, and SLAM. These tools have really boosted how well autonomous systems perform in lots of different areas, such as self-driving cars, factories, and delivery services. But even though things are looking up, there are still some big challenges to tackle.

One of the main issues is that the world is a varied place. Autonomous systems need to be able to handle all sorts of different conditions, like rain, snow, bumpy roads, and things moving around them. Plus, all these high-tech systems generate tons of data, which means people need fast and smart ways to process it all in real time. Making sure all the different parts of these systems work well together is also a big task. Safety is another major concern, especially when people are around. And of course, people need to figure out how to make all this technology more affordable and able to handle different sizes of jobs.

Moving forward, people need to keep working on making our algorithms smarter so they can deal with the real world better. People should also work on better ways to combine data from different sensors and use machine learning and AI to help these systems make better decisions. Designing systems that use less energy is important. So, they can run longer on battery power. And people need some standards and rules to make sure these technologies are used safely and fairly.

As people keep pushing forward, overcoming these challenges is key to making the most out of autonomous systems. People need to focus on making these systems safer and more reliable, and on making them easier and cheaper to use. By doing this, people can open up a lot of possibilities for how people use technology in everyday life. With a bit more work, the future of autonomous navigation could be exciting and could change the way people live and work.

References

[1] Zhang, J., & Singh, S. Solid-State LiDAR for Autonomous Vehicles: A Review.IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 2015,31(3),598-609

[2] Yoo, H., et al. Solid-State LiDAR for Autonomous Vehicles: A Review. IEEE Sensors Journal, 2022, 22(4), 2920-2935.

[3] Guo, C., et al. Robust Place Recognition Using 3D LiDAR in Challenging Weather. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 2019, 66(12), 9889-9901.

[4] Wolcott, R. W., & Eustice, R. M. Visual localization within LiDAR maps for automated urban driving. IEEE/RSJ IROS, 2017, 176-183.

[5] Geiger, A., Lenz, P. & Urtasun, R. Are we ready for autonomous driving? The KITTI vision benchmark suite. IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2012, 3354-3361..

[6] Tian, Y., Zhang, H., Fu, Y. & Xu, C. Monocular depth estimation with self-supervised learning. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 2020, 29, 6432-6442.

[7] Chen, X., Wang, H., Luo, Y. & Li, K. Monocular visual odometry for road vehicles. IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV), 2016, 1366-1371.

[8] Mur-Artal, R., Montiel, J. M. M. & Tardós, J. D. ORB-SLAM: A versatile and accurate monocular SLAM system. IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 2015, 31(5), 1147-1163.

[9] Godard, C., Mac Aodha, O. & Brostow, G. J. Unsupervised monocular depth estimation with left-right consistency. IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2017, 6602-6611.

[10] Scharstein, D. & Szeliski, R. A taxonomy and evaluation of dense two-frame stereo correspondence algorithms. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 2002, 24(7), 807-824.

[11] Hirschmüller, H. Stereo processing by semiglobal matching and mutual information. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 2008, 30(2), 328-341.

[12] Geiger, A., Lenz, P. & Urtasun, R. Vision meets robotics: The KITTI dataset. International Journal of Robotics Research, 2013, 32(11), 1231-1237.

[13] Yamaguchi, K., McAllester, D. & Urtasun, R. Efficient joint segmentation, occlusion labeling, stereo and flow estimation. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 2014, 36(1), 157-171.

[14] Kendall, A., Martirosyan, H., Dasgupta, S. & Henry, P. End-to-end learning of geometry and context for deep stereo regression. IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, 2017, 66-75.

[15] Malvar, H. S., He, L. & Cutler, R. High-quality linear interpolation for demosaicing of Bayer-patterned colour images. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2004, 3, 485-488.

[16] Everingham, M., Eslami, S. M. A., Van Gool, L., Williams, C. K. I., Winn, J. & Zisserman, A. The Pascal Visual Object Classes Challenge: A Retrospective. International Journal of Computer Vision, 2015, 111(1), 98-136.

[17] Gupta, S., Girshick, R., Arbeláez, P. & Malik, J. Learning rich features from RGB-D images for object detection and segmentation. European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV), 2014, 345-360.

[18] Ren, S., He, K., Girshick, R. & Sun, J. Faster R-CNN: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 2015, 39(6), 1137-1149.

[19] Petschnigg, G., Szeliski, R., Agrawala, M., Cohen, M., Hoppe, H. & Toyama, K. Digital photography with flash and no-flash image pairs. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 2004, 23(3), 664-672.

[20] Chen, L.-C., Zhu, Y., Papandreou, G., Schroff, F. & Adam, H. Encoder-decoder with atrous separable convolution for semantic image segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.02611, 2017.

[21] Grisetti, G., Kümmerle, R., Stachniss, C. & Burgard, W. A tutorial on graph-based SLAM. IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine, 2010, 2(4), 31-43.

[22] Qin, T., Li, P. & Shen, S. VINS-Mono: A robust and versatile monocular visual-inertial state estimator. IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 2018, 34(4), 1004-1020.

[23] Kümmerle, R., Grisetti, G., Strasdat, H., Konolige, K. & Burgard, W. g2o: A general framework for graph optimization. 2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2011, 3607-3613.

[24] Li, X., Belaroussi, R. & Gruyer, D. Deep learning for visual SLAM: A survey. IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica, 2020, 7(3), 925-937.

[25] Fox, D., Burgard, W. & Thrun, S. The dynamic window approach to collision avoidance. IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine, 1997, 4(1), 23-33.

[26] Brock, O. & Khatib, O. High-speed navigation using the global dynamic window approach. IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 1999, 1, 341-346.

[27] Ulrich, I. & Borenstein, J. VFH+: Reliable obstacle avoidance for fast mobile robots. IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2000, 2, 1572-1577.

[28] Macenski, S., Martín-Martín, R., Yang, C. & Sucan, I. On the performance of DWA-based path planning for mobile robot navigation. IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2020, 3299-3306.

[29] Kästner, L., Buiyan, T., Zhao, X. & Jiao, J. Dynamic window approach for warehouse automation. IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, 2021, 6(2), 1129-1136.

[30] Chen, Y., Liu, S., Shen, X. & Jia, Y. Enhanced DWA with deep reinforcement learning for dynamic environments. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 2022, 69(5), 4921-4930.

[31] Hart, P. E., Nilsson, N. J. & Raphael, B. A formal basis for the heuristic determination of minimum cost paths. IEEE Transactions on Systems Science and Cybernetics, 1968, 4(2), 100-107.

[32] Pearl, J. Heuristics: Intelligent search strategies for computer problem solving. Addison-Wesley, 1984.

[33] Rabin, S. (Ed.). Game AI Pro: Collected wisdom of game AI professionals. CRC Press, 2014.

[34] Zeng, W., & Church, R. L. (2009). Finding shortest paths on real road networks: The case for A*. International Journal of Geographical Information Science, 23(4), 531–543.

[35] Goldberg, A. V. & Harrelson, C. Computing the shortest path: A* search meets graph theory. Proceedings of the 16th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA), 2005, 156-165.

[36] LaValle, S. M. Rapidly-exploring random trees: A new tool for path planning. Iowa State University Technical Report TR 98-11, 1998.

[37] Kuffner, J. J. & LaValle, S. M. RRT-connect: An efficient approach to single-query path planning. Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2000, 995-1001.

[38] Karaman, S. & Frazzoli, E. Sampling-based algorithms for optimal motion planning. The International Journal of Robotics Research, 2011, 30(7), 846-894.

[39] Gammell, J. D., Srinivasa, S. S. & Barfoot, T. D. Informed RRT*: Optimal sampling-based path planning focused via direct sampling of an admissible ellipsoidal heuristic. IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2014, 2997-3004.

[40] LaValle, S. M. & Kuffner, J. J. Randomized kinodynamic planning. The International Journal of Robotics Research, 2001, 20(5), 378-400.