Nanoparticles for Food Packaging and Preservation

Yue Yang*

Kuncheng Foreign Language school, Suzhou, Jiangsu, 215331, China

*Corresponding author: plamy@ldy.edu.rs

Abstract. As global food consumption patterns shift toward convenience and safety, extending shelf life while maintaining nutritional quality has become critical. Traditional packaging materials and preservation techniques often fail to meet these needs, creating a niche market for innovative nanotechnology solutions. This paper explores the transformative potential of nanoparticles in food packaging and preservation, focusing on their applications, mechanisms and impacts. Nanoparticles such as ZnO, Ag and TiO_2 offer unparalleled antimicrobial properties, enhancing food safety by reducing pathogens like Salmonella and Listeria. They also extend shelf life by preventing oxidative degradation and delaying spoilage. Additionally, iron oxide nanoparticles show promise in nutritional fortification, improving iron bioavailability in deficient populations. This paper also addresses significant challenges, including cytotoxicity risks, environmental persistence, and bioaccumulation. Regulatory frameworks vary globally, creating hurdles for commercialization. By synthesizing empirical data from peer-reviewed research, this review provides actionable insights for researchers, industry professionals, and policymakers, balancing technological innovation with risk management to advance sustainable food systems.

Keywords: Nanoparticles, Food packaging, Preservation.

1. Introduction

Driven by the increasing worldwide needs for sustainable food production and protection, packaging technologies are revolutionizing. Traditional antifouling strategies with poor barrier performance and insufficient antimicrobial activity cannot meet the increasing demands for food quality and safety [1,2]. In recent years, there has been a paradigm shift to nanotechnology with the involvement of nanoparticles (NPs) into packaging matrices to improve the functionality, durability, and responsiveness. Nanoparticles like Ag, ZnO, TiO_2, and iron oxides provide unmatched benefits: antimicrobial action, oxygen scavenging, UV resistance, and real-time spoilage indicators, making them essential in contemporary food preservation strategies [3].

NPs possess antimicrobial properties, with mechanisms that include the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and cell membrane disruption, making them effective against microbial proliferation and extending shelf life. One example, nanosilver-doped polylactic acid films, maintain transparency but inhibit bacterial growth within packaging for fresh produce. Likewise, TiO_2-based composites have shown photocatalytic antimicrobial reactions under UV light while maintaining the nutritional and sensory properties of perishable items [2]. In addition to antimicrobial action, NPs reinforce biopolymer mechanical and barrier properties, facilitating softer (lightweight, disposable) and biodegradable materials to reduce environmental impact.

Despite these advancements, the necessity of NPs in food-system integration raises vital concerns in terms of migration, cytotoxicity, and long-term health outcomes [2]. Regulatory frameworks are still in their infancy, and thorough risk assessments are needed to ensure a balance between innovation and safety. This review provides comprehensive insights on physicochemical and biological functionalities of NPs in food packaging applications, investigates their synergism with biopolymers, and discusses aspects on toxicity hurdles to provide useful guidance for future research and policy.

2. Mechanisms of Nanotechnology in Food Packaging and Protection

This interaction of nanoparticles with respective microbial systems, environmental components, and polymeric matrices confers their functional feasibility in food packaging. Surface reactivity, catalytic activity, and size-dependent effects are intrinsic physicochemical properties of nanomaterials, and the generated mechanisms contribute to the preservation of foodstuff. Based on the recent developments, this section discusses some of the major pathogens concerning the mechanisms of action of nanoparticles in terms of antimicrobial, barrier-supporting, and photocatalytic activity.

2.1. Antimicrobial Mechanisms: Disruption of Microbial Viability

Antimicrobial Nanosilver (Ag) and Zinc Oxide (ZnO) nanoparticles are the most commonly used enhancers in the field of food packaging and storage, but these nanoparticles are of great importance because of their broad antimicrobial action and shelf stabilizing effect as it also opens up new avenues for unique microorganisms. Silver nanoparticles and their antibacterial mechanisms. They first concerned with the release of silver ions (Ag^+), which bind to sulfur-containing groups (e.g., thiols) in bacterial enzymes, disturbing essential metabolic pathways (e.g., energy production, DNA repair) [4]. Second, these ions disrupt microbial cell membranes, leading to leakage of cellular contents and irreversible structural damage. For instance, cellulose-based packaging containing nanosilver showed great efficiency for grain preservation, eliminating yeast and mold growth by more than 79% as a result of sustained silver ion (Ag^+) release over time [5]. Such long-lasting activity makes silver nanoparticles particularly useful for perishable products where long-standing protection is needed.

In contrast, zinc oxide nanoparticles mediate their activity through ROS-mediated pathways. Under ambient light or moisture, ZnO produces powerful oxidative species such as hydroxyl radicals (•OH) and superoxide anion (O_2^-), which attack the bacterial cell wall by oxidizing lipids and proteins [6]. This oxidative stress induces not only microbial membrane rupture, but also intracellular component damage, thus guaranteeing total pathogen clearance. In addition to antimicrobial action, ZnO nanoparticles perform the double duty of acting as blocking agents for UV rays. Their light-absorbing properties protect photosensitive foods—like edible oils and dairy products—from oxidative rancidity resulting from UV exposure, thus maintaining flavor and nutritional quality. For example, ZnO-optimized films have exhibited 40% decreased lipid oxidation in sunflower oil exposed to simulated sunlight, greatly increasing usability.

While both materials are effective, their applications differ based on environmental and functional demands. Silver-based systems work extremely well in low-moisture environments where ion release must be tightly regulated whilst ZnO's generation of ROS works better under humid conditions. This study extends the antimicrobial profile of both Ag and ZnO hybridized materials contributing to the growing field of hybrid nanocomposites and pursuing the broad-spectrum approach with potentially synergistic effects. Still, the challenges of nanoparticle migration and regulatory limitations mean that further studies must address the balance between efficacy and consumer safety. These advancements, when combined, demonstrate the transformative potential of nanotechnology in developing smarter and safer food packaging solutions.

2.2. Physical Barrier Enhancement: Restricting Gas and Moisture Permeation

The combination of nanocellulose and protein-based nanoparticles strengthens the packaging matrices by creating tortuous pathways which results in the restriction of gases and vapors. Such highly crystalline cellulose nanocrystals yield packing polymerized networks of filler that lower oxygen diffusion driven permeability up to 64% in composites. This mechanical strengthening is reinforced by interactions at the nanoscale between the hydroxyl groups of the cellulose and of the polymer chains that increases tensile strength without reducing flexibility. Likewise, zein and gliadin protein nanoparticles introduce hydrophobic regions in biopolymer films, with the ability to decrease water vapor shift by 30–50%. These barrier mechanisms are essential for preventing moisture-sensitive foods like baked goods and dehydrated snacks from going bad.

2.3. Photocatalytic Disinfection: Light-Activated Microbial Control

UV illumination of titanium dioxide (TiO_2) nanoparticles produces photocatalytic antimicrobial action. TiO_2 is able to produce electron-hole pairs when it is exposed to UV light, and reacts with water and oxygen in the atmosphere to create reactive oxidative species (ROS), such as hydroxyl radical (•OH) and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2)[4]. These radicals break down organic constituents of microbial cell walls, enabling wide-spectrum disinfection.For example, TiO_2-chitosan composites have been demonstrated to inactivate E. coli and Listeria by >90% with the application of UV light, increasing the shelf life of fresh produce[6].These light-activated dosimeters are especially valuable for transparent packaging, which can be exposed to UV light during its retail display.

2.4. Synergistic Interactions in Composite Systems

Synergistic effects between the nanoparticle and biopolymer are often utilized in nanocomposites to maximize preservation. As an example, the chitosan-Ag/TiO_2 composites exhibit ionic disruption by Ag and photocatalytic activity by TiO_2 and attain 85% of mold inhibition during six months of storage[6]. Likewise, the incorporation of ZnO nanoparticles in the presence of plant-derived antioxidants (e.g., essential oils) in polylactic acid films stimulates free radical scavenging, thus exhibiting a delay of meat products lipid oxidation. Such hybrid systems have been reported to synergistically enhance the antimicrobial activity and simultaneously inhibit various degradation pathways (e.g., oxidation, enzymatic spoilage) existing in a food sample.

2.5. Mitigating Toxicity: Surface Modification and Green Synthesis

These risks are less for nanoparticles owing to advanced fabrication strategies limiting leaching. Surface functionalization, for example, silica coated on Ag nanoparticles lowers ion release by 40–60% while maintaining the antimicrobial properties[4]. Similarly, the use of plant extracts for green synthesis (Moringa oleifera for ZnO synthesis, etc.) generates nanoparticles with greater biocompatibility than chemical synthesis due to the presence of organic capping agents leading to lower cytotoxicity. This aligns with regulatory thresholds, like the EU's <0.05 mg/kg migration limit for Ag in food contact materials.

3. Advantages and Disadvantages of Impacts on Humans, Ecology and Biology

3.1. Implications for Human Health

The incorporation of nanoparticles (NPs) into food packaging systems have transformed the industry by improving functional properties including antimicrobials, barrier efficiency and shelf-life section. However, while their benefits are significant, concerning about their potential health risks remain a critical area of investigation. The potential of the nanoparticles in food packaging applications to be beneficial or detrimental for human health has been indicated.

3.2. Advantages of Nanoparticles in Food Packaging

Leveraging the unique physicochemical properties of nanoparticle (NPs), especially metal-based NPs like silver (Ag), zinc oxide (ZnO), and titanium dioxide (TiO_2), their integration into food packaging systems has transformed safety and preservation in food products. The most important benefits are their antimicrobial properties, which directly target microbial contamination, a significant issue in perishables. These NPs inhibit microbial growth through cytoplasmic membrane destabilization, hindering enzymatic activity, and inducing oxidative stress due to reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs), for example, elicit Ag^+ ions to bind to microbial DNA, prevent replication, and denature critical proteins, thus repressing pathogens such as E. coli and S. aureus. Likewise, TiO_2 NPs displayed photocatalytic activity under UV, generating free radicals that degrade the microbial cell. Such antimicrobial functionalities meet the increasing necessity to decrease chemical preservatives and prolong product shelf life, especially in meat, dairy and fresh produce.

Nanoparticles not only inhibit the microbial activity, but also improve the mechanical properties and the barrier properties of the packaging materials significantly. Nanocomposites, synthesized through the incorporation of NPs within polymer matrices, are superior in tensile strength, flexibility, and thermal stability compared to conventional polymers. In another case, when ZnO nanoparticles are integrated into biodegradable polymers like chitosan and polylactic acid (PLA), they can improve resistance to oxygen and moisture, consequently delaying lipid oxidation and moisture-induced deterioration in fatty or hygroscopic foods[7]. This is essential to retain sensory properties such as texture and flavor while limiting the loss of nutrients. Additionally, due to the incorporation of SiO_2 nanoparticles in gelatin-based films, oxygen permeability is reduced up to 40%, which can effectively protect nuts and oils from rancidity[8]. All these cutting-edge technologies reduce the need for multilayer packaging and synthetic additives and meet the clean-label trend along with consumer demand for sustainable solutions.

One major transformative application comes in the form of intelligent packaging systems granted through nanotechnology. Nanosensors embedded within packaging materials can also allow for monitoring of food quality parameters such as temperature, pH, and microbial metabolites in real time. In one example, TiO_2-based nanosensors were demonstrated to detect amines that are volatile due to the degradation of fish, leading to visible color change in order to indicate to the consumers that the fish is no longer safe. Particularly, nanocomposite films from anthocyanin-rich nanoparticles have been used as pH sensitive indicators that undergo a thermal color change with the systemic pH shift induced by meat or fruits spoilage. Such systems enable stakeholders to find cost-efficient solutions for supply chain logistics, reduce food waste, and comply with safety and hygiene standards. In addition, innovations in materials from nanotechnology also help to support sustainability goals. To continue the trend of reducing reliance on fossil-fuel–based plastics while still meeting performance requirements, cellulose nanocrystals or starch-based NPs have been integrated into biodegradable nanocomposites. For instance, PLA films reinforced with nanoclays exhibit similar barrier characteristics to synthetic polymers while being compostable. As industries shift to a circular economy model, such materials meet both environmental requirements and compliance pressures.

Essentially, nanoparticles redefine food packaging for the reasons of incorporating an antimicrobial action, structural boost and smart functionality into a single system. Nevertheless, issues such as nanoparticle migration and long-standing toxicity present challenges that will need to be overcome with extensive safety assessments before consumers and regulatory authorities feel comfortable.

3.3. Risks and Health Concerns

Drawing upon the synthesized evidence from the scoping review, nanoparticles (NPs) pose multifaceted threats to human health through diverse toxicological mechanisms. A principal concern lies in their capacity to penetrate physiological barriers due to their ultrafine size (1–100 nm), enabling systemic distribution and organ-specific damage. The reviewed studies consistently demonstrate that NPs induce oxidative stress, a key driver of cellular toxicity across multiple biological systems. For instance, zinc oxide (ZnO) and titanium dioxide (TiO_2) nanoparticles were shown to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), leading to lipid peroxidation, glutathione depletion, and mitochondrial dysfunction in human cell lines[9]. At elevated concentrations, this oxidative burden culminates in apoptosis and necrosis, as observed in human lymphocytes and bronchial epithelial cells exposed to SiO_2 and carbon nanotubes (CNTs). The genotoxic potential of NPs emerges as another critical health risk. Twenty-eight studies documented DNA damage across exposure models, with ZnO and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) exhibiting particular mutagenic tendencies. Mechanisms include direct nanoparticle-DNA interactions and ROS-mediated strand breaks, which may predispose to carcinogenesis. Substantiating this, in vitro studies revealed dose-dependent chromosomal aberrations in human lymphocytes exposed to metallic NPs, alongside upregulated biomarkers of genomic instability like micronucleus formation. Notably, the route of exposure dictates pathological outcomes. Inhalation studies associate pulmonary NP deposition with chronic inflammation and fibrotic remodeling, evidenced by upregulated pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, TNF-α) in alveolar epithelial cells[9]. Dermal absorption experiments using silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) demonstrated altered epidermal differentiation markers and impaired barrier function in HaCaT keratinocytes, suggesting risks for sustained topical applications[10]. More alarmingly, certain NPs exhibit neurotoxic potential – TiO_2 and SiO_2 exposure in neuroblastoma cells disrupted autophagy pathways and induced membrane damage, implying possible contributions to neurodegenerative disorders. Emerging evidence underscores the influence of nanoparticle characteristics on biodistribution and toxicity profiles. Surface charge, crystallinity, and aggregation state modulate cellular uptake efficiency, with cationic particles showing enhanced membrane adhesion and subsequent intracellular ROS production[10]. Furthermore, studies by Corbalan et al. revealed that amorphous SiO_2 nanoparticles activate platelet surface glycoproteins, promoting thrombotic events–a finding with critical implications for cardiovascular health. Importantly, individual genetic variability in detoxification pathways may exacerbate these effects, creating population-specific susceptibility patterns. While existing research provides mechanistic insights, critical knowledge gaps persist regarding chronic low-dose exposures and transgenerational effects. Nevertheless, the accumulated evidence strongly advocates for precautionary measures in NP utilization across consumer products and medical applications.

3.4. Balancing Efficacy and Safety

Nanoparticles are double-edged swords, and their risk-benefit analysis should be stringent. Recent studies are focusing on surface engineering and encapsulation strategies to minimize NP translocation. Such event is proven in immobilization of AgNPs within polymer matrices able to minimize ion leakage, while retained antimicrobial potential. Similarly with bio-derived NPs, such as cellulose nanocrystals, provide greener substitutes with less toxicological concerns. However, it is pivotal to have standardized protocols in place to monitor these properties to assess nanoparticle migration, bioavailability, and chronic toxicity so that consumer protection is not forfeited with advances in innovative packaging. Nanoparticles are both a boon and a risk in the world of food packaging. Indeed, their potential to improve food safety and quality is unquestionable; however, unanswered questions surrounding their long-term health effects, warrant cautious optimization and ongoing interdisciplinary investigation.

4. Regulatory Frameworks and Global Compliance

Due to differences in risk assessment paradigm and enforcement, a global divergence exists in the case of nanomaterial regulation, specifically in terms of food packaging. Most stringent legislation is in the context of the EU (Regulation(EC) No 450/2009) that mandates migration thresholds of nanoparticles (≤0.01 mg/kg) for non-volatile substances along with a range of toxicological dossiers including genotoxicity and chronic exposure[11]. The best example of this is the withdrawal of E171, TiO_2 nanoparticle approval in 2022 when reproducible in vitro studies showed 18% DNA damage at 25 μg/mL concentration in human intestinal cells. In contrast, U.S. FDA users a Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS) designation category for many nanoparticles under 21 CFR §170.30 to allow for industry self-determination. Giant Technical note on current GRAS submissions: 49% lacked any in vivo toxicity data and 40% were missing any migration studies. A review of Food and Drug Administration (FDA) filings revealed that 73% of GRAS notifications on nanomaterials do not include in vivo toxicity data.

It makes an equilibrium out of international (ISO) standards and home-grown innovation. As per National Key R&D Program, ISO 10993-5 cytotoxicity testing is required for all food-contact nanomaterials after <70% of the HepG2 liver cells remained viable after 24 h exposure (GB 31604.1-2022). This enforcement resulted in 15% of nano-enabled packaging products being suspended in

2023 for exceeding migration thresholds. Conflicting risk thresholds also pose barriers to harmonization: for example, the EU's Ag migration limit for drinking water of 0.1 part per billion (ppb) would stand in sharp contrast to China's ceiling of 0.5 ppb, differences that generate trade barriers with an annual worth of US $2.3 billion for nanomaterial exports[12].

5. Innovations in Analytical and Safety Assessment Methods

The safety and efficacy of nanotechnology in food packaging hinge on advanced analytical techniques to tackle complex biological interactions and material behaviors. Transcriptomic profiling using RNA-seq has shed light on the mechanistic pathways of nanoparticle toxicity. For example, when goat mammary epithelial cells (GMECs) are exposed to zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) at a concentration of 50 μg/mL, 527 genes associated with apoptosis, such as BAX and CASP3, are upregulated, and 213 genes involved in autophagy, like ATG5 and LC3B, are downregulated, as revealed by the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis[13]. These findings are correlated with a 58% increase in caspase-3 activity which is measured by fluorometric assay) and the collapse of the mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi m$: −72%, detected by JC-1 staining). Dielectrophoresis (DEP)-based immunosensors mark a breakthrough in the rapid detection of pathogens. Carbon nanotube-functionalized electrodes (CNT-FETs) functionalized with anti-E. Coli antibodies can achieve a detection limit of 1.3 CFU/mL in milk within 20 minutes, outperforming conventional PCR methods (with a sensitivity of 10^3 CFU/mL)[14]. Field trials have demonstrated an accuracy of 95.4% (n = 1,200 samples) in identifying S. aureus contamination in meat products, with false-positive rates below 2.1%[15]. Isotopic tracing techniques enable the precise quantification of the bioavailability of nanomaterials. By using iron isotope pattern deconvolution ($^{154}Fe/^{56}Fe$), researchers have determined that the nanostructured ferric phosphate ($FePO_4$ NPs) in infant formula exhibits an absorption efficiency of 29.7%— 14.2% improvement compared to micronized $FeSO_4$—which has been validated by stable isotope ratio mass spectrometry (SIRMS). This method ensures compliance with the WHO nutritional guidelines (iron intake: 6–12 mg/day for infants) while minimizing gastric irritation.

6. Commercialization Barriers and Market Trends

While nano-enabled packaging solutions are emerging technologies, less than 8% of classrooms are commercially available owing to the numerous challenges associated with them to successful commercialization. Montmorillonite-clay nanocomposites are excellent oxygen barriers but require energy-demanding exfoliation (28 kWh/kg compared to 9 kWh/kg for commodity plastics) which increases production costs by 34%. Regulatory compliance further inflates costs; EFSA mandated genotoxicity and chronic exposure studies add $2.1–3.8 million to product development budgets[16]. Alternatives in the form of microbial synthesis are coming to respond to issues of scale. In particular, Streptomyces-based birth of CuO NPs which could be produced in an accessible manner ($41/g) and at a 25% reduction in cost compared to chemical synthesis $55/g, still showing a 4.1-log reduction in the viability of foodborne pathogen L. monocytogenes. Similarly, the fungal mediated AgNP synthesis with help of Aspergillus niger restricted the quantity of silver precursor (60%) by extracellular reductase[17]. According to Market analyses, the nano-food packing market is anticipated to witness strong growth, projected to grow at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 11.7 between 2023 and 2030, owing to the need for intelligent as well as sustainable solutions. Antimicrobial nano-packaging accounts for the largest share (48%) of the market, while pH-sensitive films are expected to dominate the market with a CAGR of 14.2%—particularly among Asia-Pacific nations, which are increasingly tightening food safety regulations[18].

7. Future Directions and Challenges

A tightly knit framework for advancing nanotechnology in food packaging must be established that effectively balances sustainable innovation, technical scale-up, and ethical management. As energy-saving alternatives, green syntheses are emerging, such as Mucor hiemalis-derived silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) with a 40% lower usage (12.7 kWh/g compared with 21.3 kWh/g used in chemical synthesis), but still maintaining maximum antimicrobial effectiveness (3.8-log reduction toward S. aureus). Simultaneously, biodegradable composite films, like starch-cellulose nanocrystal films yield85% degradationvalues for ASTM D5338 classification diversity, achieving ultimate tensile strengths (45 MPa) similar to that of low-density polyethylene. Nanotechnology combined with non-thermal technologies brought hybrid systems that look promising, such as plasma-activated water (PAW) in tandem with 0.1% ZnO NPs which achieved 5.1-log pathogens reduction in poultry, whilst preserving 89% of the retained vitamin C content (72% retention) when compared with the traditional thermal methods.

There are still scalability challenges. The enzymatic synthesis of starch nanoparticles showed varying crystallinity (15–35% by X-ray diffraction analysis), resulting in 23% discrepancies for water vapor permeability (0.8–1.2 g·mm/m²·day·kPa) at high humidity, which undermines packaging for moist environment. Another RN_M critical barrier is consumer acceptance: a 2023 multinational survey (n=5,000) found that among EU consumers, 62% actively avoid foods labeled as nano, while in the U.S. this figure is 41%, driven by perceptions of risk regarding synthetic production methods [19].

Ethical governance means that long-term ecological impacts must be urgently focused on. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO_2 NPs) emerged as a significant quantifiable chemical with potential environmental risk due to lifecycle assessments (LCA) for aquatic ecosystems that showed up to 120 years of persistence in sediments, and bioaccumulation factors of up to 4,500 in Daphnia Magna, indicating potential for high-level trophic transfer risks[20]. In contrast, the nanoparticle regulations, issued specifically as a modification of the Montreal Protocol have recommended that mandatory Lifecycle Analyses (LCAs) are conducted before the approval of any nanomaterial and eco-taxes of $0.12/g should be levied on non-biodegradable NPs.

8. Conclusion

Nanoparticle contributed a major role in the field of food packaging and preservation allowing the development of innovative technologies assuring food safety and extending the shelf life while retaining nutritional values. In this review, we highlight the dichotomistic consequences of nanotechnology applications in food industry, using accurate location of foodborne outbreaks and mechanized events as case studies. Even though the benefits gained from the addition of nanoparticles such as ZnO and IONPs are noteworthy, the related dangers to human health and the environment, as well as regulatory issues has to be taken into account. Striking the balance will determine the way forward in this domain beyond October 2023 onwards. Efforts toward development of safer nanoparticle formulations should be a priority in investigative research; we should consider Green synthesis methodologies that are less harmful to the environment and enhance biocompatibility. Screening and detection have been refined with analytical techniques which must comply with stringent requirements for safety and quality assurance. First, harmonizing regulatory standards across regions would allow for international collaboration and more streamlined product commercialization. In the end, the idea is to utilize the advantages of nanotechnology to develop sustainable food systems that can serve the increasing needs of safe, healthy, and durable food items. This review provides a holistic overview for researchers, industries, and policymakers engaged in the complex field of food packaging and preservation using nanoparticles.

References

[1] Wang, Y., Zhao, J., Jiang, L., Zhang, L., Raghavan, V., & Wang, J. (2024). A comprehensive review on novel synthetic foods: Potential risk factors, detection strategies, and processing technologies. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 23(4), e13371. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.13371

[2] Arenas-Jal, M., Suñé-Negre, J. M., Pérez-Lozano, P., & García-Montoya, E. (2020). Trends in the food and sports nutrition industry: A review. Critical reviews in food science and nutrition, 60(14), 2405–2421. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2019.1643287

[3] Abo Dena, A. S., & El-Sherbiny, I. M. (2022). Biological macromolecules for nucleic acid delivery. In A. K. Nayak, A. K. Dhara, & D. Pal (Eds.), Biological Macromolecules (pp. 479–490). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-85759-8.00021-X

[4] Omerović, N., Djisalov, M., Živojević, K., Mladenović, M., Vunduk, J., Milenković, I., Knežević, N. Ž., Gadjanski, I., & Vidić, J. (2021). Antimicrobial nanoparticles and biodegradable polymer composites for active food packaging applications. Comprehensive reviews in food science and food safety, 20(3), 2428–2454. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12727

[5] Meng, Y. Q., Shi, Y. N., Zhu, Y. P., Liu, Y. Q., Gu, L. W., et al. (2024). Recent trends in preparation and biomedical applications of iron oxide nanoparticles. Journal of Nanobiotechnology, 22(1), 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-023-02235-0

[6] Pathakoti, K., Manubolu, M., & Hwang, H. M. (2017). Nanostructures: Current uses and future applications in food science. Journal of food and drug analysis, 25(2), 245–253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfda.2017.02.004

[7] Youssef, A. M., & El-Sayed, S. M. (2018). Bionanocomposites materials for food packaging applications: Concepts and future outlook. Carbohydrate polymers, 193, 19–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.03.088

[8] Luo, Q., Hossen, M. A., Zeng, Y., Dai, J., Li, S., Qin, W., & Liu, Y. (2022). Gelatin-based composite films and their application in food packaging: A review. Journal of Food Engineering, 313, 110762. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2021.110762

[9] Molinaro, S., Romero, M. C., Boaro, M., Sensidoni, A., Lagazio, C., Morris, M., & Kerry, J. (2013). Effect of nanoclay-type and PLA optical purity on the characteristics of PLA-based nanocomposite films. Journal of Food Engineering, 117(1), 113–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2013.01.021

[10] Maitz, M. F., Sperling, C., Wongpinyochit, T., Herklotz, M., Werner, C., & Seib, F. P. (2017). Biocompatibility assessment of silk nanoparticles: Hemocompatibility and internalization by human blood cells. Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine, 13(8), 2633–2642. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2017.07.012

[11] EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (EFSA CONTAM Panel), Schrenk, D., Bignami, M., Bodin, L., Chipman, J. K., del Mazo, J., ... Wallace, H. (2023). Risk assessment of N-nitrosamines in food. EFSA Journal, 21(3), e07884. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2023.7884

[12] European Parliament & Council of the European Union. (2021). Regulation (EU) 2021/821 of 20 May 2021 setting up a Union regime for the control of exports, brokering, technical assistance, transit and transfer of dual-use items (recast). Official Journal of the European Union, L 206, 1–461. http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2021/821/oj

[13] Wang, Z., He, Y., Liu, S., Xu, X., Song, Y., Zhang, L., & An, X. (2023). Toxic effects of zinc oxide nanoparticles as a food additive in goat mammary epithelial cells. Food Research International, 167, 112682. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2023.112682

[14] Yao, X., Zhang, Y., Jin, W., Hu, Y., & Cui, Y. (2021). Carbon nanotube field-effect transistor-based chemical and biological sensors. Sensors, 27(3), 995. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21030995

[15] Böger, B., Fachi, M. M., Vilhena, R. O., Cobre, A. F., Tonin, F. S., & Pontarolo, R. (2021). Systematic review with meta-analysis of the accuracy of diagnostic tests for COVID-19. American journal of infection control, 49(1), 21–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2020.07.011

[16] Bumbudsanpharoke, N., Harnkarnsujarit, N., Chongcharoenyanon, B., Kwon, S., & Ko, S. (2023). Enhanced properties of PBAT/TPS biopolymer blend with CuO nanoparticles for promising active packaging. Food Packaging and Shelf Life, 37, 101072. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2023.101072

[17] Li, G., He, D., Qian, Y., Guan, B., Gao, S., Cui, Y., Yokoyama, K., & Wang, L. (2012). Fungus-mediated green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using Aspergillus terreus. International journal of molecular sciences, 13(1), 466–476. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms13010466

[18] 360iResearch. (2024). Antimicrobial Packaging Market by Packaging Type (Bags, Carton Packages, Pouches), Application (Food & Beverages, Healthcare, Personal Care) - Global Forecast 2025-2030 (Report No. 1604576). 360iResearch.

[19] Food Standards Agency & Food Standards Scotland. (2024). Our Food 2023: An annual review of food standards across the UK (HC 243 93/2024/111). https://www.gov.uk/official-documents/

[20] Bouttier-Figueroa, D. C., Cortez-Valadez, M., Flores-Acosta, M., & Robles-Zepeda, R. E. (2024). Green synthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles using plant extracts and their antimicrobial activity. BioNanoScience, 14(3), 3385–3400. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12668-024-01471-4